Alteration of brain structural connectivity in progression of Parkinson’s disease: A connectome-wide network analysis
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ABSTRACT

Pinpointing the brain dysconnectivity in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder (iRBD) can facilitate preventing the conversion of Parkinson’s disease (PD) from prodromal phase. Recent neuroimaging investigations reported disruptive brain white matter connectivity in both iRBD and PD, respectively. However, the intrinsic process of the human brain structural network evolving from iRBD to PD still remains largely unknown. To address this issue, 151 participants including iRBD, PD and age-matched normal controls were recruited to receive diffusion MRI scans and neuropsychological examinations. The connectome-wide association analysis was performed to detect reorganization of brain structural network along with PD progression. Eight brain seed regions in both cortical and subcortical areas demonstrated significant structural pattern changes along with the progression of PD. Applying machine learning on the key connectivity related to these seed regions demonstrated better classification accuracy compared to conventional network-based statistic. Our study shows that connectome-wide association analysis reveals the underlying structural connectivity patterns related to the progression of PD, and provide a promising distinct capability to predict prodromal PD patients.

1. Introduction

Over the past years, idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder (iRBD) has been increasingly recognized as the heralding feature of Parkinson’s disease (PD) and is characterized by a long incubation period (Boeve, 2010;Iranzo et al., 2014, 2006, 2013; Postuma et al., 2015a; Schenck et al., 2013, 1996). According to a recent longitudinal study, 39.7% iRBD patients had converted to PD within four years (Fereishenheajad et al., 2017). The update of Movement Disorder Society (MDS) criteria in 2019 demonstrates that polysomnogram-confirmed iRBD takes up the highest likelihood ratio of all the prodromal markers of the progression of PD (Heinzel et al., 2019). Therefore, identification of in-vivo brain structural abnormality in iRBD is crucial to promptly prevent the conversion of PD from prodromal phase to clinical stage in time.

Converging neurophysiological evidence suggested that progression of PD-related neurodegeneration is not prominent in terms of regional atrophy, but more associated with white matter integrity due to axonopathy and synaptic dysfunction (Burke and O’Malley, 2013; O’malley, 2010; Tinz et al., 2017). According to the Braak staging scheme of PD, the primary pathology patterns emerge in brainstem and progressively propagate to the neocortex, through the related white matter fibre pathway (Braak et al., 2003, 2004). In particular, this pathway could be directly damaged by the misfolded α-synuclein through regulating membrane levels of acidic phospholipids (Schechter et al., 2020). On a macroscopic scale, widespread diffusion MRI studies confirmed...
decreased white matter connectivity in PD patients, including but not limited to frontotoparietal-striatal pathway (Tinzin et al., 2017), nigro-pallidal pathway (Barbagallo et al., 2017), and other white matter tracts linking brainstem such as the longitudinal fasciculus and the internal capsule (Haghshomar et al., 2018; Scherfler et al., 2011). Notably, these white matter damages could be a preceding sign of surrounding grey matter neuronal loss (Rektor et al., 2018). Therefore, it is intuitive to hypothesize the reorganization of brain structural network may occur in iRBD stage. Another study using graph-theory based analysis showed disrupted topological disorganization of the global brain network and hub reorganization in iRBD (Park et al., 2019). However, the intrinsic process of the human brain structural network evolving from iRBD to PD remains largely unknown.

On the other hand, studies exploring the brain network reorganization due to neurodegeneration have often been hampered by the subjective analytical design on manipulating massive brain connectivity, such as the seed-based strategies or within a restricted subnetwork. To avoid this bias, the connectome-wide association study (CWAS) paradigms allow one to systematically quantify topological properties of brain networks as a whole continuum (Milham, 2012; Shehzad et al., 2014). However, the conventional analytical strategies in CWAS, such as dimensionality reduction (Zhan et al., 2015) and graph analysis (Park et al., 2019), have been criticized for substantial local information loss (Foldrack et al., 2017). To address this issue, the multivariate distance matrix regression (MDMR) was recently introduced to statistically characterize the local pattern of brain connectome without sacrificing connectome dimension (Shehzad et al., 2014). This multivariate analytical framework allows to clarify the substrate of the specific structural connectivity patterns associated with various clinical phenotypes, such as anhedonia ( Sharma et al., 2017), and psychosis-spectrum symptoms (Satterthwaite et al., 2015). Besides, our previous investigation by using MDMR also revealed widespread brain network reorganization caused by AD pathology (Ye et al., 2019), indicating that CWAS framework could open a new avenue to uncover the signature of brain structural network changes along with PD progression.

Here, we exploited the MDMR framework to provide insights into the relationship between brain connectome and PD progression from prodromal to clinical stage. In a cohort of 151 participants including iRBD, PD and age-matched normal controls (NC), the anatomical and diffusion MRIs were scanned for each individual in Xuanwu Hospital of Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. We anticipated that the signature of brain white matter structural connectivity would explicitly describe a trajectory of early PD. To evaluate its potential value in clinical use, we also adopted machine learning classification methods to examine the effectiveness of brain connectivity patterns revealed by the CWAS strategy.

2. Methods

2.1. Study participants and clinical assessment

The subjects in this study were recruited from the Movement Disorders Clinic of the Xuanwu Hospital of Capital Medical University and were all approved by the Institutional Review Board of Xuanwu Hospital, including 61 normal controls (NC), 35 iRBDS and 55 PDs. All the participants were given written informed consent to the experiment. In order to remove the potential handedness effects, all the patients were all right-handed measured according to the Edinburgh Inventory (Tinelli et al., 2013). In particular, the iRBD patients were screened by the International Classification of Sleep Disorders-Third Edition (ICSD 3) diagnostic criteria and confirmed by polysomnography (Satelia, 2014). The NCs were all older than 40 years, with no family history of movement disorders and no obvious cerebral lesions found in MR images. The PDs were diagnosed according to the MDS Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for Parkinson’s disease (Postuma et al., 2015b). Multiple assessments were applied to evaluate the iRBDS and PDs, such as the Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behaviour Disorder Questionnaire–Hong Kong (RBDQ-HK), Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), the Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, part III (UPDRS III) and Epworth sleepiness score (ESS). Table 1 summarizes the demographic details.

2.2. Imaging protocol

MR images were collected on a 3.0 T MR system (MAGNETOM Skyra, Siemens, Germany) including the axial diffusion scan with echo-planar imaging sequence, the T1 weighted scan with sagittal magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence, as well as quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) scan with axial 3-D single-echo gradient echo sequence. The T1 weighted MRI data was acquired on the following parameters: inversion time (TI) = 1100 ms; echo time (TE) = 2.98 ms; repetition time (TR) = 2530 ms; field of view (FOV) = 224 × 256 mm²; isotropic voxel size = 1 mm; flip angle = 7°. A spin echo EPI sequence was performed to acquire diffusion weighted images with the following parameters: TE, 105 ms; TR, 5000 ms; flip angle, 90°; isotropic voxel size, 2 mm; anterior to posterior phase encoding direction, 60 gradient directions with b = 1000/2000 s/mm², and two additional b0 image were acquired with reversal of the acquisition direction along the phase-encode axis. A 3-D single-echo gradient echo sequence: slice thickness = 1.5 mm; TE = 17.5 ms; TR = 25 ms; echo number = 1; flip angle = 15°; display field of view (DFOV) = 256 × 256 × 80 pixels; voxel size = 0.667 × 0.667 × 1.5 mm³; scanning time = 306 s.

2.3. Image preprocessing and tractography based on diffusion MRI

The anatomical scans were segmented with a parcellation scheme with n = 87 regions. After bias field correction, each individual T1 weighted image was processed by FreeSurfer V6 (Fischl, 2012) to separate all 77 cortical brain regions according to the Desikan-Killiany-Tourville (DKT) protocol. QSM reconstruction was performed using MATLAB-based susceptibility imaging software STI Suite (Li et al., 2014) (refer details to our previous work (Sun et al., 2020)). Given that QSM images exhibit better signal contrast on certain subcortical nuclei as compared to T1 weighted images (Deistung et al., 2017), ten subcortical regions of interest, including the substantia nigra (SN), the globus pallidus, the red nucleus, the caudate nucleus, and the putamen on both hemispheres, were manually drawn on the QSM images by an experienced neuroradiologist (J.S.). Given that the low intensity voxels of QSM where SN locates don’t precisely coincide with voxels including pars compacta, we basically followed the previous robust principle (He et al., 2015) to maximally avoid bias. 1) SN was drawn on all successive axial slices where the boundary with hyperintensity signal were visible and clear; 2) the first and last layers, as well as the boundary voxels were excluded to avoid partial volume effects; 3) obvious vascular structures were removed. All these parcellation labels were further mapped to diffusion MRI space by linear image registration from the susceptibility weighted magnitude image to T1 weighted image using Advanced Normalization Tools (http://pincel.upenn.edu/software/ants/) (Avants et al., 2009), and served as nodes for brain connectivity extraction and brain structural network construction. All the MR images and parcellation results have been visually checked by the neuroradiologist (J.S.).

The preprocessing of diffusion weighted images includes image denoising (Veraart et al., 2016), head motion, eddy-current and susceptibility distortion correction (dwiispreccproc command), and field inhomogeneity correction (Tustison et al., 2010), which were all performed by MRtrix3 (www.mrtrix.org). After preprocessing, the fiber orientation distributions were estimated by constrained spherical deconvolution (Jeurissen et al., 2011; Tournier et al., 2008) with a maximum spherical harmonic degree max of 8. Then in conjunction with the anatomical-constrained tractography protocol (Smith et al., 2012), we performed the 2nd order Integration over Fiber Orientation Distributions (ifOD2) algorithm (Tournier et al., 2010) on each voxel to
reconstruct ten million probabilistic streamlines within a brain mask, with the default parameters: FOD amplitude threshold = 0.05, step size = 0.5 × voxel size, maximum curvature per step = 45°. The probabilistic fiber tracking algorithm was adopted here because it exhibits higher anatomical reproducibility than other approaches in terms of connectivity calculation (Bonilha et al., 2015). Furthermore, Spherical-deconvolution Informed Filtering of Tractograms (SIFT) algorithm (Smith et al., 2013, 2015) was applied to reduce the overall streamline count into five million, meanwhile provides more biologically meaningful estimates of structural connection density and improve biological accuracy and reproducibility. From these data, a brain white matter structural connectivity matrix was derived for each subject, for which each element of this matrix represents the number of streamlines connecting the parcellated brain regions (Hermundstad et al., 2013).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>iRBD</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>F statistic</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>Post-hoc comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 61</td>
<td>n = 35</td>
<td>n = 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>27/34</td>
<td>19/16</td>
<td>31/24</td>
<td>0.8532</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.432 VS iRBD adjusted p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>59.6 (7.98)</td>
<td>0.4404</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>F statistic NC VS PD adjusted p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10.1)</td>
<td>(7.83)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F statistic iRBD VS PD adjusted p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (years)</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>(8.53)</td>
<td>6.64 (11.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F statistic NC VS PD adjusted p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>(4.56)</td>
<td>26.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&amp;Y</td>
<td>1.79 (0.74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(17.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBDQ-HK</td>
<td>21.3 (15.4)</td>
<td>56.703</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(17.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>(4.84)</td>
<td>3.108</td>
<td>0.047 *</td>
<td>3.1568</td>
<td>0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.91)</td>
<td>(3.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.38)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>(5.57)</td>
<td>6.6 (8.44)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1.1907</td>
<td>0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.91)</td>
<td>(3.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.38)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI</td>
<td>4.2 (3.25)</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>13.062</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 ***</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.25)</td>
<td>(5.75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7161</td>
<td>0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PD = Parkinson’s Disease; NC = Normal Control; iRBD = Idiopathic Rapid eye movement sleep Behavior Disorder; RBDQ-HK = Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder Questionnaire-Hong Kong; PSQI = Pittsburgh sleep quality index; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Score.
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Fig. 1. Schematic flowchart of multivariate distance matrix regression analysis based on the process framework, including 3D T1 weighted images parcellation, tractography of diffusion tensor images and registration. DWI: Diffusion weighted imaging; FDR, false discovery rate; DKT, Desikan–Killiany–Tourville atlas.
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2.4. Multivariate distance matrix regression

MDMR was applied here to test the variation of distance in brain structural connectivity patterns among different cohorts. First, a distance matrix in the subject space was calculated for each region. Within each distance matrix, the distance between connectivity patterns for every possible subject pair among all groups related to region \( r \) was calculated by

\[
d_{uv} = \sqrt{2(1-r_{uv})}
\]

where \( r_{uv} \) represents the Pearson correlation coefficient between connectivity vectors of subject \( u \) and \( v \). The connectivity vector, referring to the connection of a brain region to the rest 86 regions, demonstrates the connectivity strength from the given to others.

The MDMR could exhibit excellent test level accuracy, yielding a pseudo F-statistic analogous to an F-statistic from a standard ANOVA model (Zalesky et al., 2010). Specifically, the total sum of squares for region \( i \) was calculated by

\[
ss_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n} d_{uv}^2
\]

where \( n = n_1 + n_2 \), the total number of subjects. Meanwhile, the within group sum of squares was calculated by

\[
ss_w = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{u=1}^{n_1} \sum_{v=1}^{n} d_{uv}^2 + \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{u=1}^{n_2} \sum_{v=1}^{n} d_{uv}^2
\]

where \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) denote the number of each group respectively. \( d_{uv} \) represents the belonging of the subject \( u \) and \( v \), which equals to one when \( u \) and \( v \) within the same group. And then the F-statistic score of the region \( i \) would be obtained by

\[
F = (n - 1) \frac{SS_i - SS_w}{SS_w}
\]

A random permutation was applied to subjects with 1000 times to simulate the null distribution and the pseudo F-statistic score was recomputed each time. Finally, \( p \) value was calculated by counting the pseudo F-statistics from permuted values greater than those derived from the original data. Age and sex were incorporated in this model as covariates. Similarly, the procedure was repeated for all the brain regions. False discovery rate correction was further applied to control the false positive rate. Essentially, MDMR could discriminate each voxel whether patterns of whole brain connectivity would be more similar in individuals with similar phenotypes than those with dissimilar phenotypes (Ye et al., 2019). Additionally, \( p < 0.05 \) after false discovery rates correction was determined significance within the above experiments.

2.5. Post-hoc analysis

To explicitly measure which specific connectivity pattern is primarily driving the association between brain network changes and disease progression, the alteration in connectivity pattern within these pair connections would be also examined. Specially, the \( \delta \)-statistic was applied here to identify the top five connected network nodes with the greatest effect size from each seed brain region among the three subject groups (McArtor et al., 2017). The alterations of the connectivity strengths are further examined in those pairwise connections. In other words, the post-hoc analysis was conducted descriptively, according to those seed regions selected based on the significance from MDMR results.

2.6. Classification performance

Aiming to examine the discriminative ability of the key structural connectivity returned by MDMR and post-hoc analysis, we conducted the supervised learning experiment to classify the different subject groups. Briefly, we applied two classification models (i.e. logistic regression with L2 regularization and random forest) to detect iRBD and PD patients based on the connectivity features with the greatest effect size. A two-level nested cross validation was implemented to reduce potential overfitting, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In this nested cross-validation design, the outer cross validation holds out 20% of the samples as test set within 5 folds and an additional inner 4-fold cross validation was performed on the remaining 80% samples to select the optimal model by hyperparameter grid searching. This process was repeated 5 times with leaving 20% samples out and finally the classification performance was measured from all the samples. The two-level nested cross validation strategy helps to reduce the bias and gives an error estimate which is very close to the testing set. Dichotomous classification was applied for NC vs. RBD, and for NC vs. PD. In contrast, we also tested the discriminative performance of the whole brain pairwise connectivity features \((87 \times 86 / 2 = 3741 \text{ pairs})\), and of the features extracted from network-based statistic (NBS) (Zalesky et al., 2010). The NBS algorithm was first proposed to exploit the extent to which the connections comprising the

![Fig. 2. The supervised learning pipeline with nested cross validation design, where logistic regression and random forest are implemented for distinguishing iRBD and PD.](image-url)
contrast or effect of interest are interconnected, and reflects the advanced superiority of data-driven statistical method. Given that the NBS parameter threshold is difficult to choose, we empirically determined this value to make the number of significant connectivity from NBS approximate the number returned from MDMR analysis. The classification performance was evaluated in terms of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, as well as the area under curve of receiver operating characteristic on the test set. All the statistical analysis was performed in R (https://www.r-project.org). The classification model was built and evaluated with python package scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical information

The demographic characteristics of the participants in this study was shown in Table 1. No significant group differences were found in the sex (p = 0.351) and age (p = 0.646). Both iRBD and PD patients showed significantly worse sleep quality than NC, reflected by RBDQ-HK and PSQ (p < 0.001). MoCA assessment also demonstrated PD patients with significantly worse cognitive function compared with NC variations (p < 0.001).

3.2. Brain regions with altered connectivity

Eight brain seed regions with significant network reorganizations were observed from the MDMR analysis, including the right putamen, the right substantia nigra, the left parahippocampal gyrus, the left rostral middle frontal cortex, the left insula, the right paracentral, the right posterior cingulate, and the right precuneus (see Table S1 for statistical results of all brain regions). We further empirically selected five pair-wise connectivity with greatest effect size for each seed region as the key structural connectivity. Radar charts in Fig. 3 characterize the comprehensive connectivity patterns for each seed region, where each axis represents scaled connectivity strength. In Fig. 4, seed regions with significant network reorganizations were visualized in red, and the linked key connectivity were displayed in black. Based on the above findings, the brain connectivity signature associated with PD progression can be integrated into three types: Type A) remarkably disrupted connectivity in both iRBD and PD (the right putamen, the left rostral middle frontal cortex and the left insula); Type B) connectivity incrementally decreasing from iRBD to PD (the left parahippocampal gyrus, the right precuneus, and the right paracentral lobule); and Type C) preserved connectivity in iRBD and remarkably disrupted connectivity in PD (the right substantia nigra and the right posterior cingulate). Note that this final determination of seed regions clustering mainly depends on the reshaping pattern of the most typical key connectivity, rather than all key connectivity for each seed region (please refer to the supplementary univariate test shown in Table S2 and Fig. S2). For comparison, we also calculated the brain structural connectivity with significant groupwise difference based on NBS, as shown in Fig. S1.

3.3. Evaluation of connectivity feature numbers

In order to evaluate the optimal number of key connectivity (features) related to each seed region, we compared the corresponding classification accuracy based on various numbers of features from n = 1 to n = 10. The bar plot in Fig. 5 confirmed that key connectivity with n = 5 could achieve optimal classification accuracy across different group comparisons and different classification strategies. Therefore, the key connectivity regarding all eight seed regions (5 x 8 = 40) for each participant were collected to constitute the full feature set for the following evaluation.

3.4. Classification performance

To comprehensively evaluate the disease discriminating capability underlying the key structural connectivity patterns, the classification performance on machine learning was compared among the three different feature selection approaches (i.e. whole brain connectivity, connectivity pairs returned from MDMR and NBS). As demonstrated in Fig. 6, MDMR with random forest achieved optimal accuracy performance in discriminating NC and PD (accuracy = 0.933), compared to the other two approaches (whole brain: accuracy (RF) = 0.833, accuracy (LR) = 0.658; NBS: accuracy (RF) = 0.875, accuracy (LR) = 0.683). As expected, the random forest method prevails over the logistic regression in terms of accuracy for all feature selection approaches, probably due to advantage of ensemble strategy. Even for prodromal stage, the accuracy of discrimination between iRBDs and NCs was 0.833 (sensitivity = 0.886; specificity = 0.815), suggesting that the MDMR analysis could offer effective brain feature sets for PD detection and early warning (see Table 2).

4. Discussion

Increasing evidences suggest iRBD could be one of the initial manifestation and a prodromal symptom of PD (Jerebshuknejad et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). In this study, we endeavoured to quantitatively characterize PD progression in early and late stages. To this end, we used diffusion MRI to establish individual-based brain structural network in NC, iRBD and PD groups, and performed multiple data-driven multivariate statistical approaches to identify potential brain connectivity signatures related to PD pathology. In our findings, while extensive decreased cortico-striatal structural connectivity was observed in iRBD patients, the incremental alterations of connectivity between cortical regions were associated with the progression of PD. More importantly, these connectivity features underlying this PD-related network reorganization demonstrated excellent performance to distinguish iRBD and PD from NC. In the following discussion, we first summarize the PD-related structural connectivity patterns.

Briefly, three distinct types of structural network reorganization patterns in PD progression were revealed related to PD progression. The first type is the disrupted cortico-striatal connectivity observed in both iRBD and PD (namely Type A connectivity pattern), including the ipsilateral putamen-temporal connectivity (see Fig. 3A), the contralateral caudate-frontal connectivity (see Fig. 3B), and the contralateral caudate-insula connectivity (see Fig. 3C). Consistently, post mortem and nuclear imaging studies have reported that the nigro-striatal dopaminergic deple- tion, one hallmark of PD, will trigger decreased connectivity between the striatum and the telencephalon (Brooks et al., 1990; Brück et al., 2006; Gutman et al., 1997; Kish et al., 1988; Nurmi et al., 2001). Given pathological evidences showing that prominent motor symptoms would not emerge until about half dopaminergic nerves were irreversibly damaged (Fearnley and Lees, 1991), it’s no surprise to observe early decrease of cortico-striatal structural connectivity in prodromal PD stage. Congruently, compensatory reactivation due to dopaminergic deafferentation was also confirmed in brain functional network in patients with RBD (Rolinski et al., 2016). Taken together, our findings comprehensively reflected extensive decreased cortico-striatal structural connectivity occurred in iRBD patients, which may further induce significant motor symptoms in PD patients.

Besides, the incremental alterations of connectivity between cortical regions along with the progression of PD were also extensively observed in our study, including connectivity related to the parahippocampus (see Fig. 3D), the precuneus (see Fig. 3E) and the paracentral lobule (see Fig. 3F). This connectivity pattern (namely type B connectivity pattern) supports the hypothesis that patients with iRBD are on the path to synucleinopathy development (Bauckneht et al., 2013). Previous studies have found that extensive patterns of reduced connectivity in PD were observed within and between the temporal, parietal and occipital areas.
Fig. 3. Post-hoc analysis of connectivity patterns for the seed regions subsequent to multivariate distance matrix regression (MDMR). Eight nodes with significant differences in connectivity patterns in the three-group comparison were selected for the post-hoc analysis. For each seed region returned by MDMR, the top five connections with the greatest effect size are represented as axes in the radar chart.
and precuneus degeneration might be related to this non-motor symptom in PD (Shin et al., 2017). The gradually decreased connectivity linked to paracentral lobule has also been reported on PD patients in previous studies (Hepp et al., 2017) (Kim et al., 2014).

Contrary to our hypothesis, the contralateral cingulate connectivity (see Fig. 3G) and the SN-related connectivity (see Fig. 3H) significantly reconfigured on clinical PD stage, as compared to preserved connectivity strength in prodromal stage (namely type C connectivity pattern). Although the interpretation of the significant increased contralateral cingulate connectivity needs more evidence, many observations

Fig. 4. Comprehensive connectivity patterns for all seed regions identified by the post-hoc analysis. Network nodes representing each brain region selected in this paper are shown, where seed regions with significant difference by MDMR and post-hoc analysis are represented in red and others in blue. The edges with top five greatest connectivity strength for each seed region are displayed in black. SN: substantia nigra; L, left; R, right. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 5. Classification performance with the number of features, which were selected from the regions with the greatest effect size.
Fig. 6. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves show the brain connectivity patterns returned from MDMR (first column), NBS (second column), whole brain (third column) briefly in the first row, distinguishing PD from NC (second row), iRBD from NC (third row) and PD from iRBD by random forest (blue line) and logistic regression (green line) in detail. The shaded areas represent the confidence intervals of the ROC curves. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 2
Classification performance between groups (NC vs. iRBD and NC vs. PD) with two classification algorithm, logistic regression, and random forest. ACC = accuracy; SEN = sensibility; SPE = specificity, MDMR = multivariate distance matrix regression; NBS = network based statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connectivity features</th>
<th>MDMR</th>
<th>NBS</th>
<th>Whole Brain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC vs. PD</td>
<td>NC vs. iRBD</td>
<td>iRBD vs. PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEN</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEN</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
regarding structural compensation of commissural fibers in later stage have been reported (see literature review in (Sanjari Moghaddam et al., 2020)), possibly due to improved interhemispheric information transfer to counterbalance pathological changes in one hemisphere. As a hallmark brain region of PD, SN has been widely reported in terms of fiber damages in clinical stage (Kamagata et al., 2018; Menke et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2017b). However, no MRI study has ever investigated SN-related structural connectivity integrity in iRBD patients. Although our previous study reported excessive iron deposition accumulated in the SN at the iRBD stage (Sun et al., 2020), the related connectivity in iRBD remains controversial. While a previous study with a limited sample size of participants found that the brain functional connectivity of iRBD was different from that of NC and PD, which shows the progression from iRBD to PD involves increases and decreases in different nigra subcortical-cortical connections correlations (Ellmore et al., 2013), another recent study reported no significant difference in functional connectivity between iRBD and PD (Rolinski et al., 2016). Overall, these findings imply that the SN-related structural connectivity is more likely to be relatively intact at prodromal PD and altered after prodromal PD. Longitudinal evidence is further needed to test this hypothesis.

Furthermore, the brain dysconnectivity features identified by MDMR demonstrated remarkably performance in detecting iRBD and PD from NC (NC vs. iRBD accuracy: 83.3%, NC vs PD accuracy: 93.3%), compared with the connectivity features detected by the conventional NBS approach (NC vs. iRBD accuracy: 83.3%, NC vs PD accuracy: 87.5%), or from the whole brain (NC vs. iRBD accuracy:77.8%, NC vs PD accuracy: 83.3%). MDMR evidently exhibits excellent specificity and sensitivity to identify the driving components that lead to brain network reorganization, and to localize the brain connectivity signature unique to particular disease states in a data-driven manner. Overall, our findings coincide with the recent paradigm shift in investigating etiological mechanism of PD from regional abnormalities to an integrated outlook. Studies have shown that the misfolding of α-synuclein propagation occurs along the structural connection network of the human brain (Zeighami et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2019). Therefore, clarifying the nature of structural connectivity signature of PD progression is crucial for searching new intervention targets to alter disease trajectory in early PD patients.

This study was not without limitations. First, the optimal fiber tracking method in structural brain network construction is still controversial, for regions with more frequent fiber disposition are more likely to obtain potential errors during fiber tract reconstruction. To maximally avoid such effects, anatomical-constrained tractography method for tractography estimation with anatomical priors was performed to improve the biological plausibility of the generated streamlines (Smith et al., 2012). Second, it’s challenging to biologically interpret the substrate underlying the strength changes of brain structural connectivity. The multi-shell high spatial and angular diffusion MR data combined with anatomical-specific post-processing analysis, such as voxel-based analysis (Mito et al., 2018), can possibly explain whether the degeneration of WM tracts in iRBD and PD patients is mainly due to axonal loss or demyelination. Finally, the sample size of our study was relatively small. Although nested cross validation strategy has been implemented to overcome overfitting, larger cohorts are needed to validate the observed brain network signature related to PD progression.

In conclusion, we established the brain structural network of iRBD and PD using diffusion MRI data, and identified unique patterns of network reorganization along with the progression of PD. Three types of brain structural connectivity reorganizations of PD progression were detected in eight brain regions. These progressive alterations support the hypothesis that iRBD patients are on the path to developing a synucleinopathy (Bauckneht et al., 2018). The extracted specific structural connectivity patterns associated with various clinical phenotypes obtained high accuracy performance to predict iRBD and PD. Cheap, safe and reliable means of identifying iRBD with the highest risk of PD will promote the targeted use of novel disease modification therapies and revolutionize clinical trials in this field.
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